In the second of 5 articles on the management of injuries and illnesses caused by ionizing radiation, the authors discuss nontherapeutic radiologic/nuclear incidents: use of a radiologic exposure device, use of a radiologic dispersal device, nuclear power plant safety failure, and detonation of an improvised nuclear device. The present article focuses on how such incidents—whether involving deliberate or accidental methods of radiation exposure—produce casualties and how physicians need to understand the pathologic process of injuries caused by these incidents. To identify the diagnoses associated with nontherapeutic exposure in time to improve morbidity and mortality, physicians must maintain a high index of suspicion when faced with a specific constellation of symptoms. In some scenarios, the sheer number of uninjured, unaffected persons who might present to health care institutions or professionals may be overwhelming. Public health and safety issues may seriously disrupt the ability to respond to and recover from a radiologic and nuclear incident, especially a nuclear detonation.
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Radiologic Exposure Device
An RED is a sealed, hidden method of delivery that gradually exposes a person to ionizing radiation without his or her knowledge. If unsealed, an RED would be considered an RDD, and thus be capable of causing contamination. Because it is surreptitiously placed, an RED could cause serious illness and injury to any number of persons, sow confusion about the radiation’s source, and delay notification of the health care community.

Injury expression is based on the radiation dose received, whether accidental or intentional, and the placement and type of radiation source. Although an RED causes damage by means of exposure to ionizing radiation, the element within the RED that releases radiation does not undergo nuclear fission (splitting of atoms) or fusion (joining of atoms). Patients may present with the following conditions: cutaneous radiation syndrome (CRS), cutaneous syndrome, damage to subcutaneous tissues (acute local radiation injury), or acute radiation syndrome (ARS). With CRS, deposition of ionizing radiation energy sufficient to damage the epidermis or dermis may result in sloughing or desquamation of the outer layer of skin. Details specific to the injuries will be discussed in parts 3, 4, and 5 of our series.

Two Cases of RED Interaction
In 1999, a welder in Yanango, Peru, unwittingly placed a 37-Ci (1.37 TBq) iridium-192 (\(^{192}\text{Ir},\text{Ir-192}\) industrial radiography source in a trouser pocket, where it resided for several hours. The case was one of the more serious on record in which injury to skin and underlying tissues did not result in a fatality. He did not learn that the device was a radioactive source for hours, leading to his right lateral thigh absorbing a substantial dose of radiation. This exposure led to necrosis of skin, subcutaneous tissues, and bone. The radiation dose affected the marrow centers, causing the hematopoietic subsyndrome of ARS. Some months later, he underwent amputation of his right lower extremity followed by a hemipelvectomy.

In 2008, the Radiation Emergency Assistance Center/Training Site received a call from a teletherapy engineer...
which would require additional medical countermeasures such as chelators (eg, diethylene-triamine-pentacetic acid, or DTPA). It should be noted that injuries and illnesses caused by RDDs are radiation related and are not caused by a “nuclear” reaction (fission or splitting of atoms).

**Radiologic Dispersal Device**

An RDD is any device—either unsealed or detonated—that is used to spread radioactive materials. Although an RDD is commonly thought of as an explosive device, or *dirty bomb*, the device does not need to explode to spread radiologic material into the environment. A non-explosive RDD could directly contaminate humans, but it could also cause environmental contamination that could in turn contaminate humans. Unlike an RED (which is by definition not a contaminant), an RDD contaminates and could potentially cause blast injury. An RDD may be implanted in a facility ventilation system or a fumigation system. In Iraq and Afghanistan, nonradiologic IEDs are detonated almost every day and cause numerous deaths and disfigurements; the addition of radioactive material to such devices would cause further injury, external or internal contamination, and panic. Persons near the epicenter of an explosion of a dirty bomb will sustain physical trauma, including thermal burns and embedded foreign bodies such as radioactive shrapnel.

The amount and type of explosive material would determine the level of physical damage from an RDD. Removal of foreign bodies would require that health care professionals wear appropriate personal protective equipment and have access to radiation monitoring equipment, including ring dosimeters for health care professionals who have to remove radioactive shrapnel. A patient may be contaminated via inhalation or wounds, which would require additional medical countermeasures such as chelators (eg, diethylene-triamine-pentacetic acid, or DTPA). It should be noted that injuries and illnesses caused by RDDs are radiation related and are not caused by a “nuclear” reaction (fission or splitting of atoms).

**Nuclear Power Plant Safety Failure**

Nuclear power plants use the energy created by the fissioning of atomic nuclei—often referred to as the splitting of the atom—to generate electricity (*Figure 2*). In nuclear power, the heat generated during fission converts water to steam, which then drives electricity-generating turbines. Water is continually circulated through the reactor core via coolant pumps to control temperatures. The loss of power to coolant pumps or other interruptions of cooling capabilities to the reactors can cause the reactor core to overheat. This process is known as a *loss-of-coolant accident* (LOCA). During a LOCA, the radioactive core can become molten, hence the term...
Improvised Nuclear Device

An IND is an illicit nuclear weapon bought or stolen from a nuclear state. A terrorist group may fabricate INDs from the following illegally obtained materials: a fissile nuclear weapon (which produces a nuclear explosion), components of such a weapon, or nonweaponized nuclear material (plutonium or highly enriched uranium). An IND is also likely to be constructed by personnel who are less skilled in handling nuclear material than workers from nuclear processing facilities in officially recognized nuclear weapons states.

It was not until 2004 that the US Department of Homeland Security’s Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) published the National Response Plan, now called the National Response Framework, which outlined the federal response to national R/N incidents.

In 2005, 15 all-hazard national planning scenarios were
developed for incident-specific response planning. The first of these scenarios describes a 10-kT IND detonation. In response to this scenario, FEMA began to plan and prepare for response to a detonation of an IND. The National Incident Management System and the Incident Command System—both entities implemented by FEMA—are considered effective means to respond and recover from the detonation of a small nuclear weapon.

Compared with an RDD—which may explode but does not involve fusion or fission—an IND incorporates fissile materials and thus would cause massive physical damage to a community and considerable psychological devastation to its population, regardless of size. In 2009, the National Security Staff released the first edition of Planning Guidance for Response to a Nuclear Detonation, which categorized the devastation, types of physical damage, and types of human injuries on the basis of distance from the detonation epicenter in zones. The second edition of Guidance, released in June 2010, updated recommendations and guidelines based on intensive modeling efforts to further quantify the effects of a nuclear detonation in an urban US city. The second edition further refines the following definitions of the proposed damage zones:

- **Severe damage**: the area closest to the epicenter of the IND detonation
- **Moderate damage**: the affected area adjacent to the severe-damage zone
- **Light damage**: the affected area furthest away from the epicenter
- **Dangerous fallout**: a variable area that is determined by the scattering of radiologic material

The presumed “safe” and “dangerous” distances away from the epicenter vary from scenario to scenario in modeling efforts and would be made after the fact by the incident commander based on types of damage found on the ground. Zone determinations are made on the basis of the following criteria: (1) the yield of the weapon, (2) whether the detonation occurred above or at ground level, and (3) the topographic features of the terrain and its structures.

**Severe-Damage Zone**

According to modeling results, the severe-damage zone would sustain the combined effects of the blast, thermal pulse, and prompt radiation and would have few intact buildings and very few survivors. Further away, some buildings may remain intact if their construction is sufficiently durable. Because infrastructure damage in this zone will cause debris and make the streets impassible for responders, most life-saving and rescue operations will occur outside this zone.

**Moderate-Damage Zone**

Many serious injuries can be expected in the moderate-damage zone. There will be many individuals with blunt-force trauma, direct thermal burns, pattern burns from clothing that catches on fire, embedded shrapnel injuries, eye injuries from flying debris, and retinal injuries from seeing the flash of the nuclear detonation. Many individuals will not be ambulatory and may survive only if they can be transported to a hospital that is still capable of providing health care. The bulk of initial response efforts should be directed to the moderate-damage zone because the most individuals that will benefit from the provision of immediate medical care will be located here.

**Light-Damage Zone**

Most casualties in the light-damage zone will survive the damage and destruction from the IND detonation. Many of the injured will be ambulatory and may be capable of reaching medical care at a health care institution. Most will survive without direct treatment at a facility. Survivors may have embedded glass or other shrapnel from broken windows or light infrastructure damage. Some may have eye injuries from foreign bodies or flash injuries from seeing the nuclear blast. Casualties from the light-damage zone can be expected to overwhelm any nearby health care institutions, highlighting the impor-
workers may not report to work because they were directly affected by the detonation or because they fear for their safety or that of their loved ones.

Finally, a community’s ability to respond and recover after an IND event depends on health care professionals to provide appropriate or timely treatment; non–health care staff (eg, workers in the janitorial, food service, laundry, supply/logistics, or pharmacy departments) to aid the health care professionals; and public service workers (eg, transportation or communications workers, firefighters, police officers, heavy equipment operators).

The landscape of care will radically change after an IND detonation. Emergency response efforts to move patients to functioning health care facilities may take hours or days. Recovery efforts will be adversely affected until medical and infrastructure needs have been sufficiently addressed. Many logistical layers of communications, transportation, and infrastructure complicate the response and recovery. Material supply to local health care institutions will inevitably be interrupted. Workers who have not reported for work may not return until they have been sufficiently assured by a credible authority that their workplaces are safe.

Conclusion
To respond effectively during an R/N incident, health care professionals should be familiar with nontherapeutic methods of radiation exposure, as well as the types of injuries and illnesses that can be caused by these methods. They should learn to include ionizing radiation in differential diagnoses if radiation is not known to be involved. Although successful management of injuries and illnesses requires aggressive and appropriate medical care, protection of responders remains the primary concern because an overwhelming number of persons may present for medical care in spite of not being injured or ill. Health care professionals will be in the best position to allocate resources according to immediate patient need.
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Radiation & Nuclear Energy: The nuclear fuel cycle does not give rise to significant radiation exposure for members of the public. Radiation is particularly associated with nuclear medicine and the use of nuclear energy, along with X-rays, is ionising radiation. Ionizing radiation which can damage living tissue is emitted as the unstable atoms (radionuclides) change ('decay') spontaneously to become different kinds of atoms. The principal kinds of ionizing radiation are: Alpha particles. (However, this is only twice a typical daily therapeutic dose applied to a very small area of the body over 4 to 6 weeks or so to kill malignant cells in cancer treatment.) 10,000 mSv short-term. Fatal within a few weeks.