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The first version of the Introductory Handbook on the Prevention of Recidivism and the Social Reintegration of Offenders, published in 2012, was prepared for the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) by Vivienne Chin, Associate of the International Centre for Criminal Law Reform and Criminal Justice Policy, Canada, and Yvon Dandurand, criminologist at the University of the Fraser Valley, Canada. The program seeks to divert older adolescents from the adult criminal justice system, providing them with age-appropriate alternatives and services.

Evaluation Methodology. Study 1 To examine the impact of the Adolescent Diversion Program (New York State), or ADP, on recidivism and criminal penalties, Rempel and colleagues (2013) used a quasi-experimental design. All 16- and 17-year-old adolescents who participated in the program from Jan.

To determine the recidivism impact of ADP, the following outcomes were measured for all the sites: number of all rearrests, any rearrest, any felony arrest, and any violent rearrest. Further, in terms of ADP’s impact on criminal penalties, the following outcomes were measured: case disposition, use of jail, and type of sentence. Diversion occurs at different stages of the system. The most common diversion decision occurs when a police officer decides not to cite or arrest a suspect, even when there is considerable evidence that a crime has been committed. If the officer does make an arrest, a different form of diversion may be used.

For the offender, the main goal of diversion is rehabilitation. Diversion programs provide offenders with essential services that can address the underlying causes of criminal behaviour, such as alcohol and drug abuse. It is hoped that diversion will allow offenders to establish a normal lifestyle, without the burden of a criminal record. Diversion may also be less costly for the offender.