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BNF, the concept of assessment in the traditional sense has certainly been revolutionized.

From a personal perspective, I look forward to reading formal research around the topic – the open book statistical assessment was certainly not a walk in the park. The format of the assessment required significant theoretical application. The book simply allowed for refreshment of factual knowledge. The assessment relied on candidates being able to interpret data provided and comment accordingly. For example, research data with confidence intervals, \( p \) values, odds ratios, Wald and degrees of freedom values featured heavily. In addition, candidates were asked to comment on assumptions made, potential confounding variables and additional univariate and multivariate tests of choice, as well as construct potential tables for data we would like to collect for specific research questions.

In medical practice, postgraduate training often relies on referring to current literature when managing a patient appropriately, particularly evidence-based specialty guidelines. Of course, it is important for candidates to learn the theory; but I wonder whether the use of open book assessments could potentially be a good thing. If constructed properly, they could provide a more suitable platform of theory application as opposed to simple recall.
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### What medical students need: Virtual patients or real patients?

Dear Sir

According to Cook & Triola (2009), virtual patients (VP) are a ‘specific type of computer program that simulates real-life clinical scenarios; learners emulate the roles of health care providers to obtain a history, conduct a physical exam, and make diagnostic and therapeutic decisions’. In brief, VPs are simulators that allow medical students to improve its clinical reasoning, knowledge and decision-making skills.

Nevertheless, one as a student must not forget that patients are not only beholders of sickness, but human beings. It is clear that VPs will never replace ‘real patients’, but in exchange, the most important contribution of VPs is the safe environment where students can practice without any risk of harming (Poulton & Balasubramaniam 2011).

As medical students from a Latin American country, we believe that a global collaborative approach is needed, where virtual patients and live patient encounters are part of the learning experience. One important factor to assess is the integration of VPs in medical curricula in order to ‘get the best from both worlds’: the quick learning experience from VPs and the real-life heart-touching inspiration a real patient gives you.

For Latin American countries, this is hard to accomplish due to the fact that there are no studies regarding the success or failure of curricular implementation of VPs. There are numerous projects that aim to develop VP networks for the sharing of patient cases in Europe (e.g. http://www.virtualpatients.eu/referatory/). However, a Latin American network, if none already exists, would be beneficial to the community here.

One solution could be to create a system where resources are shared between medical schools that do have VPs and schools that do not. By doing so, we will not only solve the problem of VP development in developing countries but everyone will benefit. Students in medical schools that do have VPs could do clerkships with real patients and the students who have never experienced VPs could do so as well.

We believe that a global education is the only way in which health, technology and society can benefit each other the most.
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### Use of a melanoma simulation model in a dermatology Objective Structured Clinical Examination station

Dear Sir

Various techniques are available to simulate skin cancers including prosthetic adhesives (Goulart et al. 2012) and hand-painted moulages (Hernandez et al. 2013), which can be applied to the skin of standardized patients (SPs) during an
At the university or at the medical school, the assessment of PSMS should be done through a survey independent of care units. Indeed, the knowledge and skills of MS vary depending on their level of learning or their involvement in specific trainings. Furthermore, they are not fully integrated in one care unit even after a whole semester of internship. CASPer® assessors are typically medical students, medical residents or faculty with an interested in admissions review. At times, non-medical faculty are involved in the scoring process to add another element of objectively and diversity of assessment. During scoring, the personal identifiers of the student are not available to the assessor. This is to eliminate bias.